



Title: Monitoring of Particulate Matter (PM) Emissions

Permit Number:

PPC/204

Operator:

Steel Construction Limited

Installation:

Spray Painting Area (LHS & RHS)

Monitoring Dates:

13 September 2021

Reference Number:

EI/8939

Client Organisation:

Steel Construction Limited

Address:

Bodmin Road Coventry

CV2 5DB

Monitoring Organisation:

CES Environmental Instruments Ltd

Address:

Bretby Business Park

Ashby Road Burton on Trent Staffordshire DE15 0YZ

Date of Report:

24 September 2021

Report Prepared By:

Shane Elton

MCERTS Registration Number:

MM 04 532 (Level 2, TE1, TE2, TE3, TE4)

Signed:

Report Approved By:

Robert Allen

MCERTS Registration Number:

MM 02 009 (Level 2, TE1, TE2, TE3, TE4)

Signed:

Contents

Part 1: Executive Summary	3
1.1 Monitoring Objectives	
1.2 Monitoring Results	∠
1.3 Operating Information	
1.4 Monitoring Deviations	
Part 2: Supporting Information	6
Appendix 1 General Information	
Appendix 2 Diagrams of Emission Point	
Appendix 3 Particulate Matter (Sampling Measurement & Results)	
Appendix 4 Calibration Certificates	
Appendix 5 Uncertainty Calculations	

Part 1: Executive Summary

1.1 Monitoring Objectives

Steel Construction Limited placed a contract with CES Environmental Instruments Ltd for the compliance check monitoring of emissions to air from the Spray Painting Area (LHS & RHS).

Spray Painting Area (LHS & RHS)

Steel Construction Ltd operates a factory manufacturing steel parts for the construction industry at their Coventry site.

The metal products being sprayed arrive at the premises shot blasted and sometimes primed. The application of paints to steel beams, by airless spraying, occurs inside a wet wall spray booth. All emissions from the spray booth are released to atmosphere. The sludge from the wet filtration is removed and collected by a waste disposal company.

The test work was undertaken on 13 September 2021 by CES Environmental Instruments Ltd Engineers and carried out as part of CES Environmental Instruments Ltd job reference EI/8939.

The substances monitored were:-

Particulate Matter

On the day of testing there were no special requirements for the monitoring.

1.2 Monitoring Results

Emission Point Reference: Spray Painting Area (LHS)

Sı	ubstance to be Monitored	Emission Limit Value	Monitoring	Uncertainty of Measurement (95% CI)		Units	Reference Conditions	Emission Rate	Date of Sampling	Start and End Times	Monitoring Method Reference	Accreditation for use of Method	Operating Status
	Particulate Matter	50	0.11	0.63	0.05*	mg/m³	273K, 101.3kPa	0.001 kg/hr	13 September 2021	09:39-10:43	BS EN 13284-1	UKAS & MCERTS	Normal Operation

^{*} Indicates where a value less than the limit of detection of the weighing procedure (0.09mg) has been reported, the value lies between the detection limit and zero. A value of half the limit of detection (0.045mg) has been used to calculate the concentration.

Emission Point Reference: Spray Painting Area (RHS)

Substance to be Monitored	Emission Limit Value	Periodic Monitoring Result		Blank Result	Units	Reference Conditions	Emission Rate	Date of Sampling	Start and End Times	Monitoring Method Reference	Accreditation for use of Method	Operating Status
Particulate Matter	50	0.45	0.63	0.05*	mg/m³	273K, 101.3kPa	0.006 kg/hr	13 September 2021	10:55-11:59	BS EN 13284-1	UKAS & MCERTS	Normal Operation

^{*} Indicates where a value less than the limit of detection of the weighing procedure (0.09mg) has been reported, the value lies between the detection limit and zero. A value of half the limit of detection (0.045mg) has been used to calculate the concentration.

1.3 Operating Information

Emission Point Reference: Spray Painting Area (LHS)

Pro	ocess Type	Batch Sample Details	Fuel	Product	Load	Abatement
	Batch	Phosphate 14B420 – Grey Primer	-	Steel Parts	Beams	Bag Filter

Emission Point Reference: Spray Painting Area (RHS)

Process Type	Batch Sample Details	Fuel	Product	Load	Abatement
Batch	Phosphate 14B420 – Grey Primer	-	Steel Parts	Beams	Bag Filter

	Comparison of Operator CEMS and Periodic Monitoring Results									
Substance to be Monitored	Emission Limit Value	Periodic Monitoring Result	Uncertainty of Measurement (95% CI)	Units	Reference Conditions	Date of Sampling	Start and End Times	CEMS Results		
Particulate Matter (LHS)	50	0.11	0.63	mg/m³	273K, 101.3kPa	13 September 2021	09:39-10:43	No Data Available		
Particulate Matter (RHS)	50	0.45	0.63	mg/m³	273K, 101.3kPa	13 September 2021	10:55-11:59	No Data Available		

1.4 Monitoring Deviations

The sample plane does not comply upstream and downstream as per the requirements of BS EN 15259. Only one sample port available.

Part 2: Supporting Information

Appendix 1 General Information

CES Environmental Instruments Ltd staff details

Name	Role	MCERT	Level	Level	TE1	TE2	TE3	TE4	At site
		Registration	1	2					
		Number							
Shane	Team	MM 04 532		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Elton	Leader			Mar 2023	Dec 2021	Dec 2024	Mar 2024	Mar 2023	T
Stephen	Technician	MM 18 1502	✓						
Cashmore			Sept 2023						✓

T = Nominated Team Leader on Site

CES Environmental Instruments Ltd method details

Pollutant	Method	CES Procedure
Particulate Matter	BS EN 13284-1	WI 4/1
Moisture	BS EN 14790	WI 4/40

Monitoring Equipment Used

Gravimat & Probe CES Environmental Instruments Ltd Reference: C231

Appendix 2
Diagrams of Emission Point

Sampling Location

Dimensions	Cross Sectional Area	Orientation	Sample Ports Available/Used	Sampling Positions Per Plane	Standard
Dia =700mm	0.385m ²	Vertical	1/1	3	BS EN 15259

Comments:

Sample ports: 1 off 4" BSP sockets bolted onto flanges

Sample times are calculated from the total sample time equally divided by the no. of sample positions per plane. The minimum sample time per position is 3 minutes.

Sample positions calculated using the General method for circular ducts

Pitot Traverse

Along lines A & B at positions consistent with BS EN 15259 these positions are: 11.3%, 50.0%, 88.7%

Sample Positions

Along lines A & B at as many of the positions required within the standard method as can be achieved given the clearance limitations behind each socket. BS EN 15259 requires sampling at 3 points (3 on one line) these positions are: 11.3%, 50.0%, 88.7%


	Yes	No
Has homogeneity test been carried out?		✓
If Yes - Is stack gas homogenous?		

Any physical or regulatory restrictions regarding usage of equipment? $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

Compliance with BS EN 15259 / EA TGN M1	Yes	No
Does the sample plane comply upstream?		✓
Does the sample plane comply downstream?		✓
Are the appropriate sample ports fitted?	✓	
Do the stack gas velocity / temperature profiles comply?	✓	
Minimum platform area >5m ²	✓	







Appendix 3
Particulate Matter (Sampling Measurement & Results)

Site: Steel Construction Limited

Date: 13 September 2021

Plant: Spray Painting Area (LHS)

File Ref. 8939

Mean Particulate Results

Filter	Time	mg/m³ (Actual Co	m³/hr nditions)	mg/Nm³ (Reference	Nm³/hr Conditions)	kg/hr
80663	09:39-10:10	0.10	13352	0.11	12604.0	0.001
80907	10:12-10:43	0.09	13398	0.10	12648.0	0.001
	Mean	0.10	13375	0.11	12626.00	0.001

Control Blank Filter

Filter	Volume (m³)	
80663	0.825	
80907	0.824	
Mean	0.825	(Reference Conditions with no correction for Oxygen)

Filter 522061

Tare Weight 17230.44 mg
Gross Weight 17230.49 mg

Gain 0.04 mg

Measured Oxygen %

Concentration 0.05 mg/Nm³

Results Correct to

Ī	Temperature		Pressure		Oxygen	Gas	
ſ	°C/K	0/273	mbar/kPa	1013/101.3	%	Wet/Dry	Wet

^{*} Indicates where a value less than the limit of detection of the weighing procedure (0.09mg) has been reported, the value lies somewhere between the detection limit and zero. A value of half the limit of detection (0.045mg) has been used to calculate the concentration.

collector-no. 663

engineer

SE,SC Spray Painting Area LHS Steel Construction plant name place Normal Operation Diam:700mm remarks

operating parameter

normal density humid	[kg / m³]	:	1.25
water vapour	[%Vol]	:	3
ambient pressure	[mbar]	:	1011
duct cross-section	[m²]	:	0.385

extraction parameter

change of meas. point	[h:m:s]	: 00:10:00
points / axis		: 3
nozzles diameter	[mm]	: 8
isokinetic factor		: 1
tare weight	[mg]	: 17539.67
gross weight	[mg]	: 17539.76

evaluation

meas. time [h:m:s] : 00:30:00 : 0.09 dust weight [mg]

extracted partial volume

: 0.874 : 0.825 actual conditions [m³] [Nm³] in norm wet [Nm³] : 0.800 in norm dry

volume flow in duct

actual conditions : 13352 [m³/h]: 12604 : 12226 in norm wet [Nm³/h] in norm dry [Nm³/h]

dust concentration

[mg/Mm³] : 0.10 [mg/Nm³] : 0.11 [mg/Nm³] : 0.11 actual conditions in norm wet in norm dry

13/09/2021 09:39 13/09/2021 10:10

13/09/2021 09:39 13/09/2021 10:10

measured values table

axis	depth	T_probe	v_duct	angle	Q_act.	volume	meas. time	p10	p40
	-	[°C]	[m/s]	[grd]	[m³/h]	[m³]	[H:M:S]	[mbar]	[mbar]
1	1	17	9.6	0.8	1.76	0.293	00:10:00	2.00	-57
1	2	17	9.7	0.6	1.75	0.291	00:10:00	2.00	-57
1	3	17	9.6	0.5	1.74	0.290	00:10:00	2.00	-57
		17	9.6	0.6	1.75	0.291		2.00	-57

13/09/2021 10:12 13/09/2021 10:43

907 collector-no.

SE,SC Spray Painting Area LHS Steel Construction Normal Operation Diam:700mm engineer plant name place remarks

operating parameter

normal density humid	[kg / m³]	:	1.25
water vapour	[%Vol]	:	3
ambient pressure	[mbar]	:	1011
duct cross-section	[m²]	:	0.385

extraction parameter

change of meas. point	[h:m:s]	: 00:10:00
points / axis		: 3
nozzles diameter	[mm]	: 8
isokinetic factor		: 1
tare weight	[mg]	: 17663.41
gross weight	[mg]	: 17663.49

evaluation

meas. time	[h:m:s]	: 00:30:00
dust weight	ľ ma l	: 0.08

extracted partial volume

actual conditions	[m³]	: 0.873
in norm wet	[Nm³]	: 0.824
in norm dry	[Nm³]	: 0.799

volume flow in duct

actual conditions	[m³/h]	:	13398
in norm wet	[Nm³/h]	:	12648
in norm dry	[Nm³/h]	:	12268

dust concentration

actual conditions	[mg/m³]	:	0.09
in norm wet	[mg/Nm³]	:	0.10
in norm dry	[mg/Nm³]	:	0.10

13/09/2021 10:12 13/09/2021 10:43

measured values table

axis	depth	T_probe	v_duct	angle	Q_act.	volume	meas. time	p10	p40
		[°C]	[m/s]	[grd]	[m³/h]	[m³]	[H:M:S]	[mbar]	[mbar]
1	1	17	9.7	0.0	1.74	0.291	00:10:00	2.00	-58
1	2	17	9.6	-0.1	1.74	0.290	00:10:00	2.00	-59
1	3	17	9.7	-0.1	1.75	0.292	00:10:00	2.00	-59
		17	9.7	-0.1	1.74	0.291		2.00	-59

Site: Steel Construction Limited

Date: 13 September 2021

Plant: Spray Painting Area (RHS)

File Ref. 8939

Mean Particulate Results

Filter	Time	mg/m³ (Actual Co	m³/hr nditions)	mg/Nm³ (Reference	Nm³/hr Conditions)	kg/hr
802157	10:55-11:26	0.35	13537	0.37	12779.0	0.005
802007	11:28-11:59	0.50	13398	0.53	12648.0	0.007
	Mean	0.43	13468	0.45	12713.50	0.006

Control Blank Filter

Filter	Volume (m³)	
802157 802007	0.834 0.826	
Mean	0.830	(Reference Conditions with no correction for Oxygen)

Filter 522057

Tare Weight 17282.16 mg
Gross Weight 17282.21 mg

Gain 0.04 mg

Measured Oxygen %

Concentration 0.05 mg/Nm³

Results Correct to

Temperature		Pressure		Oxygen	Gas	
°C/K	0/273	mbar/kPa	1013/101.3	%	Wet/Dry	Wet

^{*} Indicates where a value less than the limit of detection of the weighing procedure (0.09mg) has been reported, the value lies somewhere between the detection limit and zero. A value of half the limit of detection (0.045mg) has been used to calculate the concentration.

13/09/2021 10:55 13/09/2021 11:26

collector-no. 2157

engineer

SE,SC Spray Painting Area RHS Steel Construction plant name place Normal Operation Diam:700mm remarks

operating parameter

normal density humid	[kg / m³]	:	1.25
water vapour	[%Vol]	:	3
ambient pressure	[mbar]	:	1011
duct cross-section	[m ²]	:	0.385

extraction parameter

change of meas. point	[h:m:s]	: 00:10:00
points / axis		: 3
nozzles diameter	[mm]	: 8
isokinetic factor		: 1
tare weight	[mg]	: 18635.62
gross weight	[mg]	: 18635.93

gross weight

evaluation

meas. time [h:m:s] : 00:30:00 dust weight [mg] : 0.31

extracted partial volume

: 0.883 : 0.834 actual conditions [m³] [Nm³] in norm wet [Nm³] in norm dry : 0.809

volume flow in duct

actual conditions : 13537 [m³/h]: 12779 : 12395 in norm wet [Nm³/h] in norm dry [Nm³/h]

dust concentration

[mg/m³] : 0.35 [mg/Nm³] : 0.37 [mg/Nm³] : 0.38 actual conditions in norm wet in norm dry

13/09/2021 10:55 13/09/2021 11:26

measured values table

axis	depth	T_probe	v_duct	angle	Q_act.	volume	meas. time	p10	p40
	-	[°C]	[m/s]	[grd]	[m³/h]	[m³]	[H:M:S]	[mbar]	[mbar]
1	1	17	9.7	0.5	1.76	0.294	00:10:00	2.00	-52
1	2	17	9.8	0.5	1.77	0.295	00:10:00	2.00	-52
1	3	17	9.8	0.3	1.77	0.294	00:10:00	2.00	-52
		17	9.8	0.4	1.77	0.294		2.00	-52

13/09/2021 11:28 13/09/2021 11:59

2007 collector-no.

SE,SC Spray Painting Area RHS Steel Construction Normal Operation Diam:700mm engineer plant name place remarks

operating parameter

normal density humid	[kg / m³]	:	1.25
water vapour	[%Vol]	:	3
ambient pressure	[mbar]	:	1011
duct cross-section	[m²]	:	0.385

extraction parameter

change of meas. point	[h:m:s]	: 00:10:00
points / axis		: 3
nozzles diameter	[mm]	: 8
isokinetic factor		: 1
tare weight	[mg]	: 18540.4
gross weight	[mg]	: 18540.84

<u>evaluation</u>

meas. time	[h:m:s]	:	00:30:00
dust weight	[ma l	:	0.44

extracted partial volume

actual conditions	[m³]	: 0.875
in norm wet	[Nm³]	: 0.826
in norm dry	[Nm³]	: 0.801

volume flow in duct

actual conditions	[m³/h]	:	13398
in norm wet	[Nm³/h]	:	12648
in norm drv	[Nm³/h]	:	12268

dust concentration

actual conditions	[mg/m³]	:	0.50
in norm wet	[mg/Nm³]	:	0.53
in norm dry	[ma/Nm³]	:	0.55

13/09/2021 11:28 13/09/2021 11:59

measured values table

axis	depth	T_probe	v_duct	angle	Q_act.	volume	meas. time	p10	p40
	-	[°C]	[m/s]	[grd]	[m³/h]	[m³]	[H:M:S]	[mbar]	[mbar]
1	1	17	9.7	-1.5	1.75	0.292	00:10:00	2.00	-58
1	2	17	9.6	-0.7	1.74	0.290	00:10:00	2.00	-58
1	3	17	9.7	0.8	1.76	0.293	00:10:00	2.00	-59
		17	9.7	-0.5	1.75	0.292		2.00	-58

Appendix 4
Calibration Certificates

Certificate of Calibration

Date of Issue: 21st December 2020

Certificate No. CES1986

age 1 of 2

CES Environmental Instruments Ltd Bretby Business Park, Ashby Road Burton-on-Trent, Staffordshire, DE15 0YZ Tel: 01283 216334 Fax: 01283 550939

Certified By

Instrument Details

Instrument Type

Gravimat SHC-502

Instrument Make

Erwin Sick 6118714

Instrument Serial No. Quality No.

C231

Calibration Date

21/12/20

Calibrated By Name S,Cashmore

Ambient Conditions

Air Temperature (°C)

19

Barometric Pressure (mbar) Relative Humidity (%)

986

Instruments used to undertake calibration

I	Ξ	Ту	ре	Pi	to	t
- 1	M.	an.	~~	ot	-	T

UKAS Certificate No.K45800V

(Qu. No. C136)

nometer Type FC012

UKAS Certificate No. 20002

(Qu. No. C082)

Manometer Type FC012

UKAS Certificate No. 20001 UKAS Certificate No. U103120-20 (Qu. No. C081) (Qu. No. C138)

Barometer Type 104 Gallus Dry Gas Meter RIS Supercal XT

UKAS Certificate No.N028407 UKAS Certificate No. 3228080001

(Qu. No. C333) (Qu. No. C014)

Flow and Extraction

The reference pitot was placed in a wind tunnel located at Bretby Business Park. The Gravimat SHC-5 Sampling Probe under test was mounted within the same wind tunnel in close proximity to the reference pitot. The wind tunnel was operated to generate a differential pressure across each pitot, a direct comparison was made. The differential pressures measured were in the region of the caibration points of the reference pitot. Correction factors were applied to the reference pitot and compared to the differential pressure shown for the pitot under test. The extraction system of the unit was operated for a period of one minute.

Volume Flow

A calibrated dry gas meter was connected to the sampling nozzel of the Gravimat SCH-5. A volume of air is pulled through the sampling system. The measured value shown on the calibrated dry gas meter is then compared to the indicated value on the Gravimat SCH-5 display.

Barometric Pressure

The barometric pressure was measured using a calibrated barometer. The indicated pressure was compared to the Gravimat SHC-5 display.

Temperature

The probe thermocouple was placed in a thermpcouple oven and heated. The temperature was measured using a calibrated thermocouple and temperature indicator. The resultant temperature was compared to the Gravimat SCH-5 display.

Current

A mA current source was injected into the Gravimat SCH-5 using a mA current generator. The injected current was compared to the Gravimat SCH-5 display.

Certificate of Calibration

Date of Issue: 21st December 2020

Certificate No CES1986

page 2 of 2

CES Environmental Instruments Ltd Bretby Business Park, Ashby Road Burton-on-Trent, Staffordshire, DE15 0YZ Tel: 01283 216334 Fax: 01283 550939

Certified By

Instrument Details

Amblent Conditions

Instrument Type Instrument Make Instrument Serial No Quality No Calibration Date

Gravimat SHC-502 Erwin Sick 6118714 C231 21/12/20

Air Temperature (°C)
Barometric Pressure (mbar)
Relative Humidity (%)
Air Density @ 0°C (kg/m³)
Corrected Air Density (kg/m³) 19 986 60 1 277 1 1638

Calibration Details

Flow and Extraction

	Pitot Correction	Applied Pressure Corrected (Pa)	SHC502 (Calculated) (Pa)		Calculated Velocity (m/s)	SHC502 Velocity (m/s)	Velocity Factor
5.4	0.988	5.3	5.2	1.02	3.028	3.000	1.01
40.6	0.988	40.1	39.1	1.03	8 303	8 200	1.01
86 5	0.990	85.6	83.8	1.02	12.131	12.000	1.01
171_1	0.990	169_4	166.2	1.02	17.061	16.900	1.01
231.6	0.988	228.8	223.5	1.02	19.830	19.600	1.01

The same of the sa	1.02	1 1 4 04
Mean (excluding 4.0Pa)	1.02	1.01

Volume Flow

Nominal Flow Rate	Actual Flow Rate	Actual Flow Rate	Orifice Constant
l/min	l/min	m³/hr	
10.0	9.855	0.591	12.706
15.0	14.979	0.899	12.810
20.0	19.947	1.197	12.826
25.0	24.380	1.463	12.828
30.0	30.148	1.809	12.870

Barometric Pressure

Pressure Input					
Required Value (mbar)	Indicated Value (mbar)				
995.0	995.0				
1001.0	1001.0				
1014.0	1014.0				

Temperature

Temperature Input					
Required Value (°C)	Indicated Value (°C)				
25.0	25.1				
50.0	50.1				
100.0	99.8				
150.0	150.2				
250.0	250.4				
300.0	300.0				

Current

Current Value Required Value Indicated Value (mA) (mA) 0.0 0 5.0 10.0 5 10 20 20.0

Time

Time Period mins	Required Value mins	Within Limit
3:00	2:59 - 3:01	Yes
5:00	4:59 - 5:01	Yes
10:00	9:59 → 10:01	Yes

Appendix 5 Uncertainty Calculations

Version 1

Uncertainty calculation for EN 13284 Determination of low range mass concentration of dust, Manual Gravimetric Method

						Measurement Equation		
Limit value (ELV)		mg.m ⁻³	Reference oxygen		% by volume	$c = \frac{m}{V} f_c$		
Measured concentration	0.11	mg.m ⁻³ (at reference conditions)			-	$c - \frac{1}{V} J_c$		
Measured Quantities	Symbol	Value	Standard uncertainty		Units	Uncertainty as percentag	Uncertainty at ly	Requirement of std
Sampled Volume	V _m		uV _m	0.001	m ³	0.12		<=2%
Sampled gas Temperature	T _m	273	uTm		k	0.73		<=1%
Sampled gas Pressure	ρ_{m}	101.3	$u\rho_m$	0.1	kPa	0.10)	<=1%
Sampled gas Humidity	H _m	0	uH _m	1	% by volume	1.00)	<=1%
Oxygen content	$O_{2,m}$		$uO_{2,m}$	0.1	% by volume	#DIV/0!		<=5%
Mass particulate	m	0.09	um	0.26	mg	288.89	0.63	<5% of limit value
Note - Sampled gas humidit	ty, temperature	and pressure are values at the gas m	eter					
Leak	L	2			%	2.00)	<=2%
Uncollected Mass	UCM	0.009			mg	10)	<=10%
(Instack filter - no rinse)								
Intermediate calculations								
Factor for std conds	fs	1.00						
uncertainty components	symbol	sensitivity coeff		u (in units of fs)				
	$\rho_{\rm m}$	0.010		0.001				
	H_{m}	0.010		0.010		$f_{*} = \frac{(100 - H_{m})}{273} \frac{273}{\rho_{m}}$		
	$T_{\rm m}$	0.004		0.007		$T_s = 100 \qquad T_m = 101.3$		
	ufs			0.012		1.24		
Corrected volume	V	0.83	uV	0.010	m³	$V = V_m f_s $ 1.25	5	
Factor for O2 correction	fc	1.00						
uncertainty components	symbol	sensitivity coeff		u		$f_c = \frac{21 - O_{2,ref}}{21 - O_{2,ref}}$		
	$O_{2,m}$	0.05		0.005		$^{J_c} = 21 - O_{2,m}$		
Factor for O2 Correction	ufc	1.00		0.005	<u>-</u>	0.48	i	

Version 1

Parameter		Value Units	Sensitivity coeff Uncer	tainty contribution	Uncertainty as %
Corrected Volume (standard co	V	0.83 m ³	0.13	0.00 mg.m ⁻³	1.25 %
Mass	m	0.09 mg	1.21	0.32 mg.m ⁻³	288.89 %
Factor for O2 Correction	fc	1.00	0.11	0.00 mg.m ⁻³	0.48 %
Leak	L	0.00 mg.m ⁻³	1.00	0.00 mg.m ⁻³	1.15 %
Uncollected mass	UCM	0.01 mg	1.21	0.01 mg.m ⁻³	5.77 %
Combined measurement uncerta	inty			0.32 mg.m ⁻³	
Expanded uncertainty as percentage of measured value		577.90	% measured of value	expressed with a	a level of confidence of 95% ge factor k=2)
Expanded uncertainty in units of measurement		0.63	mg.m ⁻³		
Expanded uncertainty as percen	tage of limit value	1.26	% ELV		

Verified

Uncertainty calculation for EN 13284 Determination of low range mass concentration of dust, Manual Gravimetric Method

						Measurement Equation		
Limit value (ELV)		mg.m ⁻³	Reference oxygen		% by volume	$a = m_f$		
Measured concentration	0.53	mg.m ⁻³ (at reference conditions)	•		=	$c = \frac{m}{V} f_c$		
Measured Quantities	Symbol	Value	Standard uncertainty		Units	Uncertainty as percentag	Uncertainty at I	v Requirement of std
Sampled Volume	V _m			0.001		0.12		<=2%
Sampled gas Temperature	***				k	0.73		<=1%
Sampled gas Pressure	$\rho_{\rm m}$	101.3	$u\rho_m$	0.1	kPa	0.10		<=1%
Sampled gas Humidity	H_{m}	0	uH_m	1	% by volume	1.00		<=1%
Oxygen content	$O_{2,m}$		$uO_{2,m}$	0.1	% by volume	#DIV/0!		<=5%
Mass particulate	m	0.44		0.26	mg	59.09	0.63	<5% of limit value
Note - Sampled gas humid	ity, temperature	and pressure are values at the gas n	neter					
Leak	L	2			%	2.00		<=2%
Uncollected Mass	UCM	0.044			mg	10		<=10%
(Instack filter - no rinse)								
Intermediate calculations								
Factor for std conds	fs	1.00						
uncertainty components	symbol	sensitivity coeff		u (in units of fs)				
	ρ_{m}	0.010		0.001				
	H_{m}	0.010		0.010)	$f_{-} = \frac{(100 - H_m)}{273} \frac{273}{\rho_m}$		
	$T_{\rm m}$	0.004		0.007	,	$T_s = 100 \qquad T_m = 101.3$		
	ufs			0.012	2	1.24		
Corrected volume	V	0.83	uV	0.010) m³	$V = V_m f_s 1.25$		
Factor for O2 correction	fc	1.00						
uncertainty components	symbol	sensitivity coeff		u		$21 - O_{2, ref}$		
, , ,	$O_{2,m}$	0.05		0.005	5	$f_c = \frac{21 - O_{2,ref}}{21 - O_{2,m}}$		
Factor for O2 Correction	ufc	1.00		0.005	5	0.48	1	

Parameter		Value Units	5	Sensitivity coeff	Uncertainty contribution	Uncertainty as %
Corrected Volume (standard co	V	0.83 m ³		0.64	4 0.01 mg.m ⁻³	1.25 %
Mass	m	0.44 mg		1.2	1 0.31 mg.m ⁻³	59.09 %
Factor for O2 Correction	fc	1.00		0.53	3 0.00 mg.m ⁻³	0.48 %
Leak	L	0.01 mg.m	1 ⁻³	1.00	0.01 mg.m ⁻³	1.15 %
Uncollected mass	UCM	0.03 mg		1.2	1 0.03 mg.m ⁻³	5.77 %
Combined measurement uncerta	ninty				0.32 mg.m ⁻³	
Expanded uncertainty as percentage of measured value			118.80	% measured of va	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ith a level of confidence of 95% erage factor k=2)
Expanded uncertainty in units of	f measurement		0.63	mg.m ⁻³	(
Expanded uncertainty as percen	tage of limit value		1.27	% ELV		

Verified