Allesley Flood Risk Management Community Information Event

8th February 2019







Speakers



Melanie Dinnis, Project Manager, Environment Agency



Neal Thomas, Flood Risk Manager, Coventry City Council



Laurence Hau, Capita



Ian Jelley, Warwickshire Wildlife Trust

Marslands Farm Slashpirrs Farm Wall Hill Exemp ollyberry End Hill, Fields 7 Brownshill Green Allesley Pickford Industrial Estate

Catchment area



Historical Flooding

Melanie Dinnis, Project Manager

Historical Flooding





Butt Lane July 2007



Butt Lane Sept 2008



Washbrook Lane Nov 2012



Butt Lane Nov 2012



Browns/Washbrook Lane June 2016






Historical Flooding - February 2016



Butt Lane Feb 2016



A map showing flooded areas and reported flow routes for the 2016 event




Surface Water Flooding

Neal Thomas, Flood Risk Manager

Surface Water Drainage Improvement Works






Washbrook Lane









Washbrook Lane - Ditch Improvements










The Windmill Hill









The Windmill Hill – Pipe Improvements












Browns Lane Junction – New Gullies and Raised Kerbs















Cost Summary – around £160,000












Modelling Existing Flooding

Laurence Hau, Capita




Flooding Issues – Surface and River Water


Model run for a 1% Annual Exceedance Probability return period




Flood Extent – 0.2 hours



Flood Extent – 0.5 hours



Flood Extent – 4 hours




Flood Extent – 5 hours






Flood Issues – Surface and River Water Continued

Model run for a 1% Annual Exceedance Probability return



Flood Extent – 5.15 hours




Max Flood Extent – 9 hours

- Surface water causes flooding at the onset later combined with high flows from the river
- Flow path from Washbrook Lane through the properties at Browns Lane to the Gardens of Butt Lane
- 31 properties shown as flooding




Flood Event Hydrograph





Flood Modelling of Flood Storage Option



Modelled Option Location


- Construction of a 86,000m³ Flood Storage
 Area
- Small defence down Washbrook Lane
- The storage area will hold flows upstream to reduce flooding downstream
- Protects 26 properties from the 31 damaged
- Whole life cost e.g. Construction, design, maintenance and operation. Approximately £8.5 million.
- Not progressed due to the high costs





Other Options Considered

- Flood storage north of Hawkes Mill Lane
- Reinstatement of ponds and channel alterations north of Hawkes Mill Lane
- Flood storage on the tributary west of Washbrook Lane
- Small defence at Washbrook Lane to contain out of bank flows




Options North of Streamside Close



Other Considered Options Continued

- Cattle grids or water diversion measures on Washbrook Lane to redirect surface water flow
- Measures at Brown Lane to prevent flow path around the back of Butt Lane properties
- Bypass pipe starting at the junction of Butt and Brown Lane transferring flood waters past the properties at affected at Butt Lane
- Widening around the third culvert at Butt Lane
- Natural Flood Management




Options near or south of Streamside Close



Why Natural Flood Management?

Our thoughts on NFM:

- Flood risk cannot be managed by simply building ever-bigger hard defences. Softer approaches are often more sustainable, complement & extend the lifetime of more traditional defences
- We should work with natural processes where possible and enhance the environment
- Defences that work with natural processes generally are more sustainable
- NFM measures are often more resilient to extreme events Salt marsh, mudflats a managed realignment and provide better value for money
- Cost effective solutions both in the cost of resources and maintenance
- Individually each initiative may make a small difference but added together they can have increased and cumulative effect for Flood Risk Management, and can provide other ecosystem services
- Encourages working in partnership






Natural Flood Management

Ian Jelley, Director of Living Landscapes



What is natural flood management?





- **2. Storing water** by creating capacity in ponds, scrapes, swales and ditches so that they fill and then empty slowly after 12-24 hours.
- 3. Intercepting rainfall Vegetation, especially tree leaves, intercept rainfall so it doesn't reach the ground. Water is then evaporated from the leaves, reducing the volume of flood water. Trees can reduce the amount of water reaching the ground by 25 45%.
- **4. Increasing soil infiltration** by improving soil structure and reducing compaction, which can increase the volume of water which is stored in the soil.





Slowing water down


- Slow high flows, reduce peak flow.
- Increase time it takes for storm water to pass downstream, thereby reducing the maximum flood peak.
- Large woody dams are created by laying large tree trunks in a cross formation across the channel to rest safely on both banks, wedged and secured in position.
- The height of the lowest timber should allow normal flows to pass underneath. This will also permit fish passage.



A series of 24 woody dams installed in Cumrew back to reduce flooding in Cumrew village, near Carlisla, © Eden Bivers Trust



eaky barriers on Dovenby back, near Cockermouth @ West Cumbria Rivers Trust







Storing water

- Slowing the flow of water, reducing peak flow.
- Reducing the volume of runoff by increasing the opportunity for infiltration and evaporation.
- Trapping sediment which would reduce the function of neighbouring watercourses and drainage systems.
- · Improving water quality & wildlife habitat.
- A variety of designs on a small scale collectively making a big difference.



Swale to divert and hold surface runoff © Eden Rivers Trust










Intercepting rainfall

- Trees and hedges planted in strategic locations to both directly intercept rainfall and slow overland or flow through the soil.
- Improved water quality and pollution removal.
- Improved habitat for wildlife.
- Enhanced landscape character.



Cross slope planting and shelter belts to slow the flow, reduce runoff and aid soil infiltration. © Forestry Commission



Riparian fencing and tree planting on the River Ehen in West Cumbria © West Cumbria Rivers Trust





Increasing soil infiltration


- Improved movement of air and nutrients through the soil.
- Promotes strong root growth and more efficient crop/grass growth.
- Improved fertiliser uptake so less fertiliser input needed.
- Reduced soil loss and poaching.
- Increased number of available grazing days (waterlogged soils stay colder for longer).



Loweswater farmer group discussing their shared sward lifter equipment during a demonstration event. Image © West Cumbria Rivers Trust




Healthy soil with pore space between particles



Compacted soil with greatly reduced pore space



Hydrograph comparison



What Happens Next?

- Continue to look at capital interventions as listed in the previous slides
- Natural Flood Management will be commencing on the ground over the next few months through Warwickshire Wildlife Trust
- Possible volunteering opportunities around Natural Flood Management
- Future community information events to keep you updated on progress



Thanks for Listening

To view this information after the event, please visit:

www.coventry.gov.uk/SherbourneFRM







Coventry City Council contact:

Neal.Thomas@Coventry.gov.uk

Environment Agency contact:

Melanie.Dinnis@environment-agency.gov.uk

Warwickshire Wildlife Trust contact:

Ian.Jelley@wkwt.org.uk