



Information Governance Team

Postal Address:
Coventry City Council
PO Box 7097
Coventry
CV6 9SL

www.coventry.gov.uk

E-mail: infogov@coventry.gov.uk

Phone: 024 7697 5408

30 January 2025

Dear Sir/Madam

Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) Request ID: FOI675158975

Thank you for your request for information relating to Section 38 & Section 278 Schemes.

You have requested the following information:

I would be grateful if you could provide me with the following information on all Section 38 & Section 278 technical approvals granted from the 1st October 2024 – 31st December 2024.

Please see the table below:

Scheme Name	DC Reference	Agreement Type	Date Created	Developer	Drawing Approved Date	Legal Instructed	Agreement Signed
Bridle Brook Lane (Cornerway Farm)	216	S278	20/07/2021	Cassidy Group Ltd	08/08/2024	09/08/2024	10/10/2024
Siskin Drive (Caravan Site)	81130	S278(M)	08/02/2024	SpellarMetcalfe	05/09/2024		10/10/2024

Details below.

- Developer - provided in the table
- Site Name - provided in the table
- Date TA submitted - we are advising you as per Section 1(1) of the Act that this information is not held. We do not hold records for this however to assist, the date of setting up schemes is included table.
- Date TA approved - The Council do not provide TA until an agreement is signed. Dates drawings are approved to send to the Council's Legal team within the range requested are provided in the table.
- Est cost of works/Bond value

It is confirmed that the Council does hold information pursuant to your request. However, it is our

view that the information is exempt from disclosure under Section 43(2) – Commercially Sensitive Information. Section 43(2) exempts information from disclosure where disclosure of that information would, or would be likely to, prejudice the commercial interests of any person (an individual, a company, the public authority itself or any other legal entity).

It is the Council's position that the third-party providers and its own commercial interests would be prejudiced and/or would be likely to be prejudiced by the disclosure of the requested information.

Once the information is disclosed this means that it will be in the public domain and it could not only be used by the requester but also any other providers in a similar market.

Arguments in favour of disclosure.

- Promote accountability and transparency for the Council's decisions and in its spending of public money.
- Assist the public to understand and challenge our decisions.
- Inform the public of the activities carried out on their behalf, allowing for more user involvement and collaborative decision making.
- Enable the public to better scrutinise the public monies spent

Arguments against disclosure.

- There is a public interest in allowing public authorities to withhold information which if disclosed, would reduce providers' ability to compete in a commercial environment.
- The successful providers operate in a competitive market. If prejudicing the commercial interests of the successful providers in the market would distort competition in that market, this would not be in the public interest.
- Disclosure of information may cause unwarranted reputational damage or loss of confidence in the Council.
- Revealing information such as a pricing mechanism can be detrimental to a provider's commercial interest. If an organisation has knowledge of a provider's business model, it can exploit this for its own commercial interest. This would also have a detrimental impact on the Council on other contracts and procurements by distorting the market, for the reasons stated above.

Having considered the arguments for and against disclosure, the Council has decided that the public interest in this case is best served by maintaining the exemption under section 43(2) FOIA and by not disclosing the information requested.

The decision to withhold the information requested is therefore upheld on the basis that Section 43(2) in relation to commercially sensitive information has been applied correctly.

- Road & Sewer designer - we are advising you as per Section 1(1) of the Act that this information is not held. S38 and S278 Agreements are made with the developer. Who a developer appoints as a designer is not Council information and subsequently not retained.

The supply of information in response to a FOI/EIR request does not confer an automatic right to re-use the information. You can use any information supplied for the purposes of private study and non-commercial research without requiring further permission. Similarly, information supplied can also be re-used for the purposes of news reporting. An exception to this is photographs. Please contact us if you wish to use the information for any other purpose.

For information, we publish a variety of information such as: [FOI/EIR Disclosure Log](#), [Publication](#)

[Scheme](#), [Facts about Coventry](#) and [Open Data](#) that you may find of useful if you are looking for information in the future.

If you are unhappy with the handling of your request, you can ask us to review our response. Requests for reviews should be submitted within 40 days of the date of receipt of our response to your original request – email: infogov@coventry.gov.uk

If you are unhappy with the outcome of our review, you can write to the Information Commissioner, who can be contacted at: Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF or email icocasework@ico.org.uk.

Please remember to quote the reference number above in your response.

Yours faithfully

Information Governance