

Coventry Local Plan Review
Regulation 19
Proposed Submission (Publication) Stage Representation Form
(guidance note below)

Ref:

(For official use only)

**Name of the Plan
to which this
representation
relates:**

**Coventry Local Plan Review – Regulation 19 Proposed
Submission (Publication)**

**Please return to Coventry City Council in writing or electronically by 23:59 03
March 2025 email to planningpolicy@coventry.gov.uk, via our consultation portal
<https://coventrycitycouncil.inconsult.uk/system/home> or by post to Planning Policy
Team, PO Box 7097, Coventry, CV6 9SL**

**Please refer to the following data protection/privacy notice:
www.coventry.gov.uk/planningpolicyprivacynote**

**Please also note that that a copy of your representation(s) will be made available to
the Planning Inspectorate and to the person appointed by the Secretary of State to
conduct the examination (i.e. the Inspector) and the Programme Officer. and that your
representation(s) will be 'made available' in line with the Regulations (The Town and
Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 – Regulations 20, 22
and 35). This includes publication on Coventry City Councils website (personal details
will be redacted in line with the Privacy Notice).**

This form has two parts:

Part A – Personal Details: need only be completed once.

Part B – Your representation(s).

Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A - FIRST STREET.

1. Personal Details*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable) boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

Title

MR

MR

First Name

JAMES

STEPHEN

Last Name

MCNAUGHT

MCNAUGHT

Job Title

/

/

(where relevant)

/

/

Organisation

/

/

(where relevant)

Address Line 1

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Part A SECOND SHEET

1. Personal Details*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable) boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

Title

MRS

MR

First Name

SYLVIA

STEPHEN

Last Name

MENAGHT

MENAGHT

Job Title

/

/

(where relevant)

Organisation

/

/

(where relevant)

Address Line 1

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

REDACTED

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Part A THIRD SHEET.

1. Personal Details*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable) boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

Title

MR

MR

First Name

NEIL

STEPHEN

Last Name

MCLNAUGHT

MCLNAUGHT

Job Title

/

/

(where relevant)

Organisation

/

/

(where relevant)

Address Line 1

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation: STEPHEN MCNAULHT

3. To which part of the Local Plan Review does this representation relate?

Paragraph **TABLE**
Policy

4. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is:

(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2) Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please tick as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan Review is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan Review or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

PLEASE SEE GERALD NELL'S
REPORT

THE TOTAL FIGURE SHOULD
BE 35,693 AND
SHOULD BE UPLIFTED BY 4200
TO ACCORD WITH HIS CONCLUSIONS
ON WINDFALL AND
PURPOSE BUILT STUDENT
ACCOMMODATION

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the Duty to Co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

PLEASE SEE GERALD
VELL'S REPORT

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.

7. To which part of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) report does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Please add any further comments relating to the SA report in the box below

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

8. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

9. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

TO ANSWER THE
INSPECTOR'S QUESTIONS
PARTICULARLY ON THE
ADDITIONAL
SUBMISSIONS

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Name or Organisation: **STEPHEN MCNAUGHT.**

3. To which part of the Local Plan Review does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy **H 1**

4. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is:

(1) Legally compliant	Yes <input type="text"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2) Sound	Yes <input type="text"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes <input type="text"/>	No <input type="text"/>

Please tick as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan Review is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan Review or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

THE COMMENTS OF MARK SULLIVAN OF CPRE AND MERLE GERING ARE ADOPTED IN FULL.

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENT HEADED ADDITIONAL SUBMISSIONS FOR FURTHER SUBMISSIONS

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the Duty to Co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

PLEASE SEE SUBMISSIONS
OF MARK SULLIVAN
FOR SUGGESTED
WORDING

PLEASE DOCUMENT
NEEDED ADDITIONAL
SUBMISSIONS

PLEASE SEE GERRARD YELL'S
REPORT.

POLICY H1 : PROVISION
SHOULD BE FOR A
MINIMUM OF 27600

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.

7. To which part of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) report does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Please add any further comments relating to the SA report in the box below

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

8. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

9. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

TO ASSIST THE INSPECTOR
AND TO ANSWER QUESTIONS
PARTICULARLY ON THE
DOCUMENT HEADED
ADDITIONAL SUBMISSIONS

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Name or Organisation: STEPHEN McNAGHTEN

3. To which part of the Local Plan Review does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy H2

4. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is:

(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2) Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please tick as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan Review is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan Review or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

THE COMMENTS OF MARK
SULLIVAN OF CPRE
AND MERLE GERING OF KOGG
ARE ADOPTED IN FULL.
PLEASE SEE DOCUMENT
ATTACHED HEADED
ADDITIONAL SUBMISSIONS
FOR FURTHER
SUBMISSIONS

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the Duty to Co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

PLEASE SEE DOCUMENT
ATTACHED FOR
FURTHER SUBMISSIONS

PLEASE SEE COMMENTS
ON POLICY GB1 AND
THE POLICIES MAP

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.

7. To which part of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) report does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Please add any further comments relating to the SA report in the box below

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

8. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

9. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

TO ASSIST THE INSPECTOR, TO
ANSWER QUESTIONS PARTICULARLY
ON THE DOCUMENT HEADED
ADDITIONAL SUBMISSIONS ON
ISSUES SPECIFIC TO DEVELOPMENT
AT VERSLEY.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Name or Organisation:

STEPHEN MCNAULHT

3. To which part of the Local Plan Review does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

DS3

4. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is:

(1) Legally compliant

Yes

No

(2) Sound

Yes

No

(3) Complies with the
Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

Please tick as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan Review is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan Review or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

POLICY DS 4 (PART C) HAS NOT
BEEN REPLICATED IN DS3.

IN DS3 REFERENCE IS MADE
TO PARTS B TO D RELATING
TO THREE AREAS INCLUDING
VERESELEY. HOWEVER THERE
IS NO PART C FOR
VERESELEY.

PLEASE SEE ADDITIONAL SUBMISSIONS

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the Duty to Co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

PLEASE ADDITIONAL
SUBMISSIONS

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.

7. To which part of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) report does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Please add any further comments relating to the SA report in the box below

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

8. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

9. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

TO ASSIST THE INSPECTOR TO
ANSWER QUESTIONS AND
ANY OTHER ISSUES RAISED
IN THE ADDITIONAL
SUBMISSIONS DOCUMENT

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Name or Organisation: STEPHEN MCNAULHT.

3. To which part of the Local Plan Review does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy GB1

4. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is:

	Yes	No
(1) Legally compliant	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2) Sound	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please tick as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan Review is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan Review or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

GB1 AND THE POLICIES MAP
SHOULD BE AMENDED TO
REMOVE PART OF THE
WERRLEY SUE

PLEASE SEE REPRESENTATIONS
OF MERLE GRINN AND
MARK SULLIVAN PLUS
ADDITIONAL SUBMISSIONS

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the Duty to Co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

EXCLUSION OF PART OF
VERESELEY SUE
RE ADDITIONAL
SUBMISSIONS

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.

7. To which part of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) report does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Please add any further comments relating to the SA report in the box below

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

8. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

9. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

TO ASSIST THE INSPECTOR,
ANSWER QUESTIONS
PARTICULARLY ON
ADDITIONAL SUBMISSIONS

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.